



On Generalizes Derivations And commutativity Of Centrally Prime Rings

Neshtiman N. Sulaiman¹

*1*Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Salahaddin University, Kurdistan-Erbil, Iraq.

Email: Neshtiman.suliman@su.edu.krd

Article info

Original:14 March 2016
 Revised:13 June 2016
 Accepted: 31 July 2016
 Published online:
 20 December 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we will study some important results relating to the concept of generalized derivation in centrally prime ring to be commutative.

Key Words:

*derivations,generalized
 derivations centrally
 prime rings*

Introduction

Larsen and McCarthy in [6] introduced the notion of multiplicative system. Let R be a ring. A non-empty subset S of R is said to be a multiplicative closed set in R , if $a, b \in S$ implies $ab \in S$, and multiplicative closed set S is called a multiplicative system if $0 \notin S$. Let S be a multiplicative system in R such that $[S, R] = \{0\}$, where $[S, R] = \{[s, r] \mid s \in S, r \in R\}$. Define a relation on $R \times S$ by $(a, s) \sim (b, t)$ if and only if there exists $x \in S$ such that $x(at - bs) = 0$. This is an equivalence relation on $R \times S$ and we denote the equivalence class of (a, s) by a_s . Let R_S denote the set of equivalence classes of $R \times S$ with respect to this relation, that is $R_S = \{a_s \mid (a, s) \in R \times S\}$ such that $a_s = \{(b, t) \in R \times S \mid (a, s) \sim (b, t)\}$. We can make R_S in to ring by define addition (+) and multiplication(.): $a_s + b_t = (at + bs)_{st}$ and $a_s . b_t = (a . b)_{st}$, for all $a_s, b_t \in R_S$ which we call $(R_S, +, .)$ localization of R at S [1].

Throughout this paper R is a ring with a nonzero center $Z(R)$. Recall that R is prime if $aRb = \{0\}$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, and it is said an n -torsion free, for $n \geq 1$, in case $na = 0, a \in R$ implies $a = 0$. As usual the commutator $ab - ba = 0$ will denoted by $[a, b]$ and anti-commutator $ab + ba$ will denoted by $a \circ b$. An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if

$d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b)$, holds for all $a, b \in R$. An additive mapping $G: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation $d: R \rightarrow R$ such that

$G(ab) = G(a)b + ad(b)$, holds for all $a, b \in R$.

Over the last several years, a number of authors studied the commutativity in prime ring admitting derivations and generalized derivation. In [2], Fillips proved that if R is a prime ring with a nonzero ideal I and d is a derivation of R such that $d([a, b]) = [a, b]$, for all $a, b \in I$, then R is commutative. In [3] Jabbar extended this result for centrally prime ring. Further Rehman [9] proved that R is commutative if a generalized derivation G associated with a nonzero derivation of a prime ring which acts as homomorphism or anti-homomorphism on a nonzero ideal I of R . In [8], Quadri, Khan and Rehman they proved that if R is a prime ring with a nonzero ideal I and G is a generalized derivation associated with a nonzero derivation d

of R such that $G([a, b]) = [a, b]$ and $G(a^\circ b) = a^\circ b$, for all $a, b \in I$, then R is commutative. In this present paper, we extend these results for generalized derivation on ideals in centrally prime ring.

1. Preliminaries.

We mention to some basic definitions and lemmas we needed in the prove of the main results:

Definitions 1.1. [3]

Let R be a ring. Then

- 1- R is called a centrally prime ring if R_S is a prime ring for each multiplicative system S in R with $[S, R] \neq \{0\}$.
- 2- R satisfies central commutation property (CCP) if R_S is commutative for each multiplicative system S in R with $[S, R] \neq \{0\}$.
- 3- A mapping $f : R \rightarrow R$ is centrally-zero mapping on R if $f(S) \neq \{0\}$ for each multiplicative system S in R with $[S, R] \neq \{0\}$.

We give an example for a centrally prime ring which is not prime.

Example 1.2. Let $R = \{[0], [2], [4], [6]\}$. Then $(R, +_8, \cdot_8)$ is a ring. It is clear that R is a centrally prime ring but not prime.

Remarks 1.3. If R is a ring and S is a multiplicative system in R such that $[S, R] = \{0\}$, then

- i. If $s \in S$, then s_s is the identity element of R_S and 0_s is the zero of R_S , this identity does not depend on the choice of the elements of S , that is $s_s = t_t$ and $0_s = 0_t$, for all $s, t \in S$.
- ii. If $a_s \in R_S$, where $a \in R$ and $s \in S$, then $(-a)_s$ is the additive inverse.
- iii. If $a_s = 0$, where $a \in R$ and $s \in S$, then there exists $t \in S$ such that $ta = 0$.
- iv. If $A \subseteq R$, then A_S mean is the set $A_S = \{a_s \mid a \in A, s \in S\}$.

Lemma 1.4.[3] Let R be a ring for which $Z(R)$ has no proper zero divisors of R . Then

- 1- $S = Z(R) - \{0\}$ is multiplicative system in R with $[S, R] = \{0\}$.

Lemma 1.5.[4] If R is an n -torsion free ring and S is a multiplicative system in R such that $[S, R] = \{0\}$, then R_S also is an n -torsion free ring.

Lemma 1.6. [3] Let R be a ring for which $Z(R)$ has no proper zero divisors of R . Then

- 1- If $t \in Z(R) - \{0\}$ and $r \in R$ such that $tr = 0$ then $r = 0$.
- 2- If R satisfies (CCP) then it is commutative.
- 3- $(Z(R))_S = Z(R_S)$, for all multiplicative systems S in R with $[S, R] \neq \{0\}$.

Lemma 1.7.[7] If a prime ring R contains a nonzero commutative right ideal, then R is commutative

Theorem 1.8. [9] Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and I be a nonzero ideal of R . Suppose $G: R \rightarrow R$ is a nonzero generalized derivation associated with d .

- (i) If G acts as a homomorphism on I and $d \neq 0$, then R is commutative.
- (ii) If G acts as an anti-homomorphism on I and $d \neq 0$, then R is commutative

Theorem 1.9.[8] Let R be a prime ring and I be a nonzero ideal of R . If R admits a generalized derivation G associated with a nonzero derivation d such that $G([a, b]) = [a, b]$ for all $a, b \in I$, then R is commutative.

Theorem 1.10.[8] Let R be a prime ring and I be a nonzero ideal of R . If R admits a generalized derivation G associated with a nonzero derivation d such that $G(a^\circ b) = a^\circ b$, for all $a, b \in I$, then R is commutative.

Lemma 1.11. [3] Let R be a ring and S a multiplicative system in R such that $[S, R] \neq \{0\}$. If $d : R \rightarrow R$ be centrally zero derivation on R , then $d^*: R_S \rightarrow R_S$ defined by $d^*(a_s) = (d(a))_s$, for all $a_s \in R_S$ is a derivation on R_S .

2. Main Results.

To prove our main theorem, we shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let R be a ring in which has no proper zero divisors and S a multiplicative system in R such that $[S, R] = \{0\}$. If I is a nonzero ideal of R , then I_S is a nonzero ideal of R_S .

Proof. Since $S \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $s \in S$ and $0 \in I$, hence $0_S \in I_S$, this implies that $\emptyset \neq I_S \subseteq R_S$.

If $a_s, b_t \in I_S$, where $a, b \in I$ and $s, t \in S$, then we have

$$a_s + b_t = (at + bs)_{st} \in I_S$$

and if $a_s \in I_S$ and $r_t \in R_S$, for $s, t \in S, r \in R$ and $a \in I$, then we have

$$(a_s \cdot r_t) = (ar)_{st} \in I_S \text{ and } (r_t \cdot a_s) = (ra)_{ts} \in I_S$$

Hence I_S is an ideal of R_S .

If $I_S = 0$, hence $a_s = 0$, for $a \in I$ and $s \in S$, then there exists $t \in S$ such that $ta = 0$, but R has no proper zero divisor, so $a = 0$ or $t = 0$, since $t \in S$ and $0 \notin S$, implies $t \neq 0$, thus by Lemma 1.6 we get $a = 0$, which is contradiction to $I \neq 0$. Therefore must be $I_S \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.2. [5. Theorem 6] Let R be a ring which has no proper zero divisors. Then R is centrally prime ring.

Proof. We will show that R_S is prime.

Lemma 2.3. Let R be a 2-torsion free centrally prime ring in which $Z(R)$ has no proper zero divisors and I be a commutative centrally ideal of R . Then R is commutative.

Proof. By Lemma 1.4, we have $S = Z(R) - \{0\}$ is a multiplicative system in R with $[S, R] = \{0\}$.

By Lemma 1.5, we get R_S is a 2-torsion free prime ring, and by Lemma 2.1, we get I_S is a centrally ideal of R_S .

Since I is commutative centrally ideal, then I_S is also commutative since $(a_s b_t) = (a \cdot b)_{st} = (ba)_{ts} = b_t \cdot a_s$, for all $a, b \in R$ and $s, t \in S$.

Hence by Lemma 1.7, we get R_S is commutative therefore R satisfies CCP. Hence R is commutative.

Lemma 2.4. Let R be a ring and S a multiplicative system in R such that $[S, R] = \{0\}$ and $F : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized derivation with associated centrally zero derivation d . Then $G^* : R_S \rightarrow R_S$ is a generalized derivation with associated derivation d^* on R_S .

Proof.

Define $G^* : R_S \rightarrow R_S$ by $G^*(a_s) = (G(a))_s$, for all $a_s \in R_S$, $a \in R$ and $s \in S$

First to show G^* is well defined.

Now for all $a_s, b_t \in R_S$ such that $a_s = b_t$, then there exists $x \in S$ such that $(at - bs)x = 0$, so that $atx = bsx$.

Take generalized to both side, we get

$$G(at)x + atd(x) = G(bs)x + bsd(x)$$

Implies that $G(a)tx + ad(t)x = G(b)sx + bd(s)x$.

Hence, we get $G(a)tx = G(b)sx \implies (G(a)t - G(b)s)x = 0 \implies (G(a))_s = (G(b))_t$,

Therefore $G^*(a_s) = G^*(b_t)$.

Now we show that G^* is generalized derivation associated with derivation d^* .

$$\begin{aligned} G^*(a_s + b_t) &= G^*((at + bs)_{st}) = (G(at + bs))_{st} = (G(at) + G(bs))_{st} \\ &= (G(a)t + ad(t) + G(b)s + bd(s))_{st} \\ &= (G(a)t + G(b)s)_{st} \\ &= (G(a)t)_{st} + (G(b)s)_{st} \\ &= (G(a))_s t_t + (G(b))_t s_s = G^*(a_s) + G^*(b_t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G^*(a_s \cdot b_t) &= G^*((a \cdot b)_{st}) = (G(a \cdot b))_{st} = (G(a)b + ad(b))_{st} = (G(a)b)_{st} + (ad(b))_{st} \\ &= (G(a))_s b_t + a_s (d(b))_t = G^*(a_s) b_t + a_s d^*(b_t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence G^* is generalized derivation associated with derivation d^* .

Theorem 2.5. Let R be a 2-torsion free centrally prime ring in which $Z(R)$ has no proper zero divisors and I be a nonzero centrally ideal of R . Suppose $G: R \rightarrow R$ is a nonzero generalized derivation with associated centrally zero derivation d and satisfying zero mapping.

- (i) If G acts as a homomorphism on I and $d \neq 0$, then R is commutative.
- (ii) If G acts as an anti-homomorphism on I and $d \neq 0$, then R is commutative

Proof. Since R is a 2-torsion free centrally prime ring, then by Lemma 1.5, we have R_S is a 2-torsion free prime ring, and since I is a nonzero ideal of R , then by Lemma 2.1, we get I_S is an ideal of R_S . By Lemma 1.4, we get $S = Z(R) - \{0\}$ is a multiplicative system with $[S, R] = \{0\}$, that is $S \neq \emptyset$, fix an element $s \in S$. If $I_S = 0$, then for any $a \in I$, we have $a_s \in I_S$, such that $a_s = 0$, that is there exists $t \in S$ such that $ta = 0$, and $0 \neq t \in S \subseteq Z(R)$, but $Z(R)$ has no proper zero divisor of R , therefore, we get $a = 0$, which is contradiction to $I \neq 0$. Hence must be $I_S \neq 0$.

If $d^* = 0$, fix an $s \in S$, then for any element $a \in I$, we have $a_s \in I_S$. So by Lemma 1.11 we have $(d(a))_s = d^*(a_s) = 0$, this implies that there exists $t \in S$ such that $td(a) = 0$. But $0 \neq t \in Z(R)$ and $Z(R)$ has no proper zero divisor of R . Therefore, we get $d(a) = 0$, which is contradiction for $d \neq 0$. Hence must be $d^* \neq 0$.

(i) Since G acts a homomorphism on I . Therefore, we must to show G^* is acts a homomorphism on I_S .

Now let $a_s, b_t \in I_S$, where $a, b \in I$ and $s, t \in S$. We have

$$G^*(a_s \cdot b_t) = G^*((a \cdot b)_{st}) = (G(a \cdot b))_{st} = (G(a) \cdot G(b))_{st} = (G(a))_s \cdot (G(b))_t = G^*(a_s) \cdot G^*(b_t).$$

Hence G^* acts as a homomorphism on I_S .

By Theorem 1.8 part (i), we have R_S is a commutative, implies R satisfies CCP. So we get R is commutative ring.

(ii) Since G acts anti-homomorphism on I , therefore, we must to show G^* is acts anti-homomorphism on I_S .

Now let $a_s, b_t \in I_S$, where $a, b \in I$ and $s, t \in S$. We have

$$G^*(a_s \cdot b_t) = G^*((a \cdot b)_{st}) = (G(a \cdot b))_{st} = (G(b) \cdot G(a))_{st} = (G(b))_t \cdot (G(a))_s = G^*(b_t) \cdot G^*(a_s).$$

Hence G^* acts as anti-homomorphism on I_S .

By Theorem 1.8 part (ii), we get R_S is a commutative, implies R satisfies CCP. Therefore R is commutative ring.

Theorem 2.6. Let R be a 2-torsion free centrally prime ring in which $Z(R)$ has no proper zero divisors and I be a nonzero centrally ideal of R . If $G: R \rightarrow R$ is a nonzero generalized derivation with associated centrally zero derivation d such that $G([a, b]) = [a, b]$, for all $a, b \in I$, then R is commutative.

Proof.

If R is not satisfying (CCP), then there exists a multiplicative system S in R with $[S, R] = \{0\}$ such that R_S is not commutative.

Since R is centrally prime ring, then R_S is prime ring.

Now we show that $I_S \neq 0$ and $d^* \neq 0$. If $I_S = 0$ and $S \neq \emptyset$, implies that there exists an element $s \in S$, so for any $b \in I$, we have $b_s = 0$, which implies there exists $t \in S$ such that $tb = 0$, where $0 \neq t \in S \subseteq Z(R)$. By Lemma 1.6, we get $b = 0$. Therefore $I = 0$, which is contradiction. This implies $I_S \neq 0$.

Now if $d^* = 0$, we fix $s \in S$, since $S \neq \emptyset$, then for any element $a \in I$, we get

$(d(a))_s = d^*(a_s) = 0$, for all $a_s \in I_S$. Thus there exists an element $x \in S$ such that $xd(a) = 0$, where $0 \neq x \in S \subseteq Z(R)$. Hence by Lemma 1.6, we get $d = 0$, which is contradiction, that is $d^* \neq 0$.

Now we must to show $G^*([a_s, b_t]) = ([a_s, b_t])$, for all $a_s, b_t \in I_S$, where $a, b \in I$ and $s, t \in S$.

$$\begin{aligned} G^*([a_s, b_t]) &= G^*(a_s \cdot b_t - b_t \cdot a_s) \\ &= G^*((a \cdot b)_{st} - (b \cdot a)_{ts}) \\ &= G^*((a \cdot b - b \cdot a)_{st}) \\ &= G^*([a, b]_{st}) = (G([a, b]))_{st} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= ([a, b])_st = (a \cdot b - b \cdot a)_{st} \\
 &= ((a \cdot b)_{st} - (b \cdot a)_{st}) \\
 &= (a_s \cdot b_t - b_t \cdot a_s) = [a_s \cdot b_t]
 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.9, we get R_S is a commutative, which is contradiction. Hence R must be satisfies (CCP) and $Z(R)$ has no proper zero divisor, we get R is commutative ring.

Theorem 2.7. Let R be a 2-torsion free centrally prime ring in which $Z(R)$ has no proper zero divisors and I be a nonzero centrally ideal of R . If $G: R \rightarrow R$ is a nonzero generalized derivation with associated centrally zero derivation d such that $G(a^\circ b) = a^\circ b$, for all $a, b \in I$, then R is commutative.

Proof.

If R is not satisfying (CCP), then there exists a multiplicative system S in R with $[S, R] = \{0\}$ such that R_S is not commutative.

Since R is centrally prime ring so is R_S , then R_S is prime.

Now we show that $I_S \neq 0$ and $d^* \neq 0$. If $I_S = 0$, then $S \neq \emptyset$, implies that there exists an element $s \in S$, so for any $b \in I$, we have $b_s = 0$, which implies there exists $t \in S$ such that $tb = 0$, where $0 \neq t \in S \subseteq Z(R)$. By Lemma 1.6, we get $b = 0$. Therefore $I = 0$, which is contradiction. This implies $I_S \neq 0$.

Now if $d^* = 0$, we fix $s \in S$ (since $S \neq \emptyset$), then for any element $a \in I$, we get

$(d(a))_s = d^*(a_s) = 0$, for all $a_s \in I_S$. Thus there exists an element $x \in S$ such that $xd(a) = 0$, where $0 \neq x \in S \subseteq Z(R)$. Hence by Lemma 1.6, we get $d = 0$, which is contradiction, that is $d^* \neq 0$.

Now we must to show $G^*(a_s^\circ b_t) = (a_s^\circ b_t)$, for all $a_s, b_t \in I_S$, where $a, b \in I$ and $s, t \in S$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 G^*(a_s^\circ b_t) &= G^*(a_s \cdot b_t + b_t \cdot a_s) \\
 &= G^*((a \cdot b)_{st} + (b \cdot a)_{ts}) \\
 &= G^*((a \cdot b + b \cdot a)_{st}) \\
 &= G^*((a^\circ b)_{st}) = (G(a^\circ b))_{st} \\
 &= (a^\circ b)_{st} = (a \cdot b + b \cdot a)_{st} \\
 &= ((a \cdot b)_{st} + (b \cdot a)_{st}) \\
 &= (a_s \cdot b_t + b_t \cdot a_s) = a_s^\circ b_t
 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.10, we get R_S is a commutative. Which is contradiction, therefore must be R satisfies CCP and $Z(R)$ has no proper zero divisor, we get R is commutative..

References

- [1] Fahr D.P. "Skew Fields of Fractions". M.Sc project. Department of Mathematics, University College London. (2002).
- [2] Filippis V.D. "Automorphisms and derivations in prime rings". Rendiconti di Matematica, Roma, serie VII, Vol. 19, pp 393-404. (1999).
- [3] Jabbar A. K. "Centrally prime rings which are commutative". J.Kirkuk Univ. Scientific Studies. Vol. 1, No. 2, pp 108-124. (2006).
- [4] Jabbar A. K. "Some properties of centrally closed Lie ideals of centrally prime rings". J. Al-Nahrain Univ. Vol. 10, No. 2, pp 162-167. (2007).
- [5] Jabbar A. K. & Majeed A. H. "On Centrally prime and Centrally semiprime rings". Raf. J. of Comp. & Math's. Vol. 5, No. 1, pp 47-56. (2008).
- [6] Larsen M. D. & McCarthy P.J. "Multiplicative theory of Ideals". Academic Press New York and London, pp 62. (1971).
- [7] Mayn J.H. "Centralizing mappings of prime rings". Candan. Math. Bull. Vol. 27, pp 122-126. (1984).
- [8] Ouadri M. Khan A., M. S. & Rehman N. "Generalized derivations and commutativity of prime rings". Indian J. pure appl. Math. Vol. 34, No. 9, pp 1393-1396. (2003).

- [9] Rehman N. "*On generalized derivations as homomorphisms and anti-homomorphism*". Glasnik Matematicki, Vol. 39, No. 1, pp 27-30. (2004).